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#### Abstract

We consider time-independent Schrödinger equations in one dimension with both periodic and Stark potentials. By means of an iterative procedure we obtain a formal power series for the Wannier-Stark ladders. In the case of strongly singular periodic potentials we prove that such a formal power series is of Gevrey type.


PACS numbers: $02.30 . \mathrm{Tb}, 03.65 . \mathrm{Ge}, 03.65 . \mathrm{Nk}$

## 1. Introduction

The nature of the spectrum of the Wannier-Stark operator, formally defined on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{~d} x)$ as

$$
H_{\epsilon}=p^{2}+V+\epsilon x \quad p=-\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} \quad \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $V(x)$ is a real valued periodic function with period $d$, i.e. $V(x)=V(x+d)$, is still an object of analysis. In fact, even if it is well known that the spectrum of $H_{\epsilon}$ is purely absolutely continuous when the periodic potential is a piecewise continuous function [5], it is not clear what happens when the periodic potential is singular enough. Avron et al [3] introduced Wannier-Stark operators with strongly singular periodic potential given by $\delta^{\prime}$ interactions. In their seminal paper they discussed the nature of the spectrum of such Wannier-Stark operators suggesting that the absolutely continuous spectrum is empty; a few years later, this statement was rigorously proved by Exner himself [8] and, by means of different techniques, by Maioli and Sacchetti [9]. Thus, in such a case we expect to have eigenvalues

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[H_{\epsilon}-\lambda\right] \psi=0 \quad \psi \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{~d} x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

displaced on regular ladders, i.e. if $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue then $\lambda+\epsilon d$ is an eigenvalue too, usually named Wannier-Stark ladders.

The problem of the computation of such Wannier-Stark ladders was posed by Wannier in the sixties [12] where he introduced an iterative procedure which gives the formal power series of the eigenvalues. This KAM-type method, later improved by [2, 7, 10, 11], consists in
producing, at the $m$ th step, an unitary transformation which makes the initial problem explicitly solvable up to a small correction of order $\epsilon^{m}$. Since such an unitary transformation is obtained by means of the solution of an infinite-dimensional system of ordinary differential equations it turns out that the explicit construction of the formal power series is, from a computational point of view, very hard.

In this paper we propose a different iterative procedure which gives a formal power series for the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $H_{\epsilon}$ where the $m$ th term of the series is directly computed by means of the first $m-1$ terms (theorem 1). Hence, our method turns out to be much more computationally efficient than the previous one. Furthermore, we can prove that the formal power series we obtain is of Gevrey type (theorem 2).

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give the main notation and briefly recall the crystal momentum representation (for more details see [11]). In section 3 we give the main results: that is we give the formal power series for the solutions of the eigenvalue equation (1) which is of Gevrey order $\gamma$ for any $\gamma>1$. In sections 4 and 5 we respectively prove theorems 1 and 2.

## 2. Notation and crystal momentum representation

Here, we recall some well-known facts about the Bloch operator, formally defined on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{~d} x)$ as

$$
H_{0}=p^{2}+V \quad V(x)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha \delta^{\prime}(x-j d) \quad \alpha \neq 0
$$

It is defined as the unique self-adjoint extension of $p^{2}$, on $C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}-\{j d, j \in \mathbb{Z}\})$, with the conditions [1]
$\varphi(j d+0)=\varphi(j d-0)+\alpha \varphi^{\prime}(j d-0) \quad \varphi^{\prime}(j d+0)=\varphi^{\prime}(j d-0) \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Hereafter, we assume, for the sake of definiteness, that

$$
d=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha=1
$$

### 2.1. Band functions

$H_{0}$ is a self-adjoint operator and its spectrum is given by bands

$$
\sigma\left(H_{0}\right)=\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[E_{n}^{b}, E_{n}^{t}\right] \quad E_{n}^{b}=\min _{k \in \mathrm{~B}} E_{n}(k)<E_{n}^{t}=\max _{k \in \mathrm{~B}} E_{n}(k)<E_{n+1}^{b}
$$

where $E_{n}(k)$ denotes the $n$th band function and $k$ is the crystal momentum variable which belongs to the Brillouin zone $B=[0,2 \pi)$. The band functions are periodic functions, with period $2 \pi$, and

$$
E_{n}^{b}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
E_{n}(\pi) & n \text { even } \\
E_{n}(0) & n \text { odd } & E_{n}^{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
E_{n}(0) & n \text { even } \\
E_{n}(\pi) & n \text { odd } .
\end{array} \text {. } n=0 .\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

The associated wavefunctions, usually named Bloch functions, are denoted by $\varphi_{n}(k, x)$ and they are periodic functions, with respect to $k$, and such that

$$
\varphi_{n}(x, k)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k x} v_{n}(x, k)
$$

where $v_{n}(x, k)$ is a periodic function with respect to $x$ :

$$
\varphi_{n}(x, k+2 \pi)=\varphi_{n}(x, k) \quad v_{n}(x+1, k)=v_{n}(x, k)
$$

The band functions, implicitly defined by the equation [1]

$$
\cos k=\cos K_{n}(k)-\frac{1}{2} K_{n}(k) \sin K_{n}(k) \quad E_{n}(k)=K_{n}^{2}(k)
$$

are analytic functions on a strip containing the real axis with amplitude $\kappa$ which does not depend on the band index

$$
S_{\kappa}=\{k \in \mathbb{Z}: \operatorname{Re} k \in \mathrm{~B},|\operatorname{Im} k| \leqslant \kappa\} .
$$

In particular, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf _{k \in S_{k}}\left|E_{n}(k)-E_{n+1}(k)\right|>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and they satisfy the asymptotic behaviour

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(k)=\left[n \pi+O\left(n^{-l}\right)\right]^{2} \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for any $k$ belonging to $S_{\kappa}$.

### 2.2. Crystal momentum representation

Here, we define a unitary transformation $U_{1}$ such as

$$
\psi \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{~d} x) \rightarrow a=U_{1} \psi \in \mathrm{H}=\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} L^{2}(\mathrm{~B})
$$

where [6]

$$
a=\left(a_{n}(k)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad k \in \mathrm{~B} \quad a_{n} \in L^{2}(\mathrm{~B})
$$

are periodic functions defined as

$$
a_{n}(k)=\int_{R} \bar{\varphi}_{n}(x, k) \psi(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

such that

$$
\|\psi\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{~d} x)}^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|a_{n}(k)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathrm{~B})}^{2}
$$

In such a representation the operator $H_{\epsilon}$ takes the form

$$
H_{\epsilon}^{1}=U_{1} H_{\epsilon} U_{1}^{-1}=\mathrm{i} \epsilon \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} k}+E+\epsilon X
$$

where $E=\operatorname{diag}\left(E_{n}(k)\right)$ is a diagonal matrix, whose diagonal elements are the band functions $E_{n}(k)$, and where $X=X(k)$ is the coupling term

$$
(E a)_{n}(k)=E_{n}(k) a_{n}(k) \quad \text { and } \quad(X a)_{n}(k)=\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} X_{n, p}(k) a_{p}(k)
$$

The functions $X_{n, p}(k)$ are defined by means of the Bloch functions as

$$
X_{n, p}(k)=\mathrm{i} \int_{-1 / 2}^{1 / 2} \bar{v}_{n}(x, k) \frac{\partial \nu_{p}(x, k)}{\partial k} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

In such a representation the eigenvalue equation (1) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[H_{\epsilon}^{1}-\lambda\right] a=0 \quad a \in \mathrm{H} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We collect now some useful results.
Lemma 1. The coupling term $X$ is such that
(i) $X_{n, p}(k)=\bar{X}_{p, n}(k)$;
(ii) $X_{n, n}(k)$ is constant;
(iii) $X_{n, p}(k)=x_{n, p}+R_{n, p}^{1}(k)+R_{n, p}^{2}(k)$ where

$$
x_{n, p}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} & n=p \\ 0 & n+p \text { even } \\ 0 \neq p \\ -\frac{2}{\pi}\left[\frac{\sin [(n+p) \pi / 2]}{n+p}+\frac{\sin [(n-p) \pi / 2]}{n-p}\right] & n+p \text { odd }\end{cases}
$$

and where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n, p}^{1}(k)=O\left[(n-p)^{-2}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad R_{n, p}^{2}(k)=O\left[q^{-1}(n-p)^{-1}\right] \quad q=\min (n, p) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly with respect to $k \in S_{\kappa}$.
Proof. Property (i) is an immediate consequence of the derivation, with respect to $k$, of the normalization condition

$$
\int_{-1 / 2}^{1 / 2} \bar{v}_{n}(x, k) v_{p}(x, k) \mathrm{d} x=\delta_{n}^{p} .
$$

In order to prove the second statement we observe that the Bloch functions are defined up to a gauge choice $v_{n}(x, k) \rightarrow v_{n}(x, k) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{n}(k)}$ where $\omega_{n}(k)$ is a periodic real valued function. Hence, it follows that

$$
X_{n, n}(k) \rightarrow X_{n, n}(k)-\omega_{n}^{\prime}(k)=\left\langle X_{n, n}\right\rangle
$$

is constant by choosing $\omega_{n}(k)=\int_{0}^{k} X_{n, n}(q) \mathrm{d} q-\left\langle X_{n, n}\right\rangle k$, here $\left\langle X_{n, n}\right\rangle$ denotes the mean value of the function $X_{n, n}(k)$ (see equation (7)). Finally, property (iii) has already been proved in [9] for any $k \in \mathrm{~B}$. The same arguments give the extension of estimate (5) to the complex strip $S_{K}$.

Lemma 2. For any positive integer $m$ there exists a positive constant $C$ independent of $n, p$ and $k \in \mathrm{~B}$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{m} E_{n}(k)}{\mathrm{d} k^{m}}\right| \leqslant C n^{2}
$$

and
$\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{m} X_{n, p}(k)}{\mathrm{d} k^{m}}\right| \leqslant C\left[\frac{1}{|n-p|^{2}+1}+\frac{1}{q[|n-p|+1 \mid]}\right] \quad q=\min (n, p)$.
Proof. These estimates are a consequence of the behaviour (3) and (5), the analyticity of the band and Bloch functions on $S_{\kappa}$, and the Cauchy theorem.

Furthermore:
Lemma 3. Let $X^{(m)}$ be the operator formally defined as

$$
\left(X^{(m)} a\right)_{n}=\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} X_{n, p}^{m} a_{p} \quad X_{n, p}^{m}=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{m} X_{n, p}(k)}{\mathrm{d} k^{m}}
$$

then $X^{(m)}$ is a bounded operator for any $m \geqslant 0$.
Proof. The boundedness of $X$ has already been proved in [9]; the same arguments apply to $X^{(m)}$ by (6).

### 2.3. Notation

We denote by $P_{1}$ the projection operator on the first band defined on H as

$$
\left(P_{1} u\right)_{n}=\delta_{1}^{n} u_{1} \quad u=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n} \in \mathrm{H} .
$$

In the following we denote

$$
u^{\|}=P_{1} u \quad \text { and } \quad u^{\perp}=\left(1-P_{1}\right) u
$$

The scalar product and the norm on the Hilbert space H are denoted as

$$
\langle u, v\rangle=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \bar{u}_{n}(k) v_{n}(k) \mathrm{d} k \quad\|u\|=\sqrt{\langle u, u\rangle} .
$$

Given a function $f \in L^{2}(\mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~d} k)$ we denote by $\langle f\rangle$ the mean value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f\rangle=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(k) \mathrm{d} k \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Gevrey power series for the Wannier-Stark ladder

### 3.1. Preliminary result

In order to obtain the formal power series let us give the following preliminary results. Following Wannier [12] we expect that the eigenvalue equation (4) has solutions displaced on infinitely many regular ladders and that any eigenvector of a given ladder, say the ladder with index $r$, has the same phase factor $\theta_{r}(k)$ depending on $\epsilon$ given by

$$
\theta_{r}(k)=-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{k}\left[E_{r}(\tau)-\left\langle E_{r}\right\rangle\right] \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

where

$$
\left\langle E_{r}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} E_{r}(k) \mathrm{d} k
$$

is the mean value of the band function $E_{r}(k)$. In order to look for the formal expansion of the $r$ th ladder of solutions of equation (4) we have to factorize such a phase factor by means of a unitary transformation defined as

$$
a \in \mathrm{H} \rightarrow u=U_{2} a \in \mathrm{H}
$$

where $U_{2}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{2} & =U_{2}=\exp \left(\mathrm{i} \theta_{r}(k)\right) 1 \\
& =\operatorname{diag}\left(\exp \left(-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{k}\left[E_{r}(\tau)-\left\langle E_{r}\right\rangle\right] \mathrm{d} \tau\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with fixed $r$; in the following we choose

$$
r=1
$$

and we consider the formal power series for the first Wannier-Stark ladder.
Hence, the operator $H_{\epsilon}^{1}$ takes the form

$$
H_{\epsilon}^{2}=U_{2} H_{\epsilon}^{1} U_{2}^{-1}=\mathrm{i} \epsilon \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} k}+F+\epsilon X
$$

where $F$ is the diagonal matrix given by

$$
F=E-\left(E_{1}-\left\langle E_{1}\right\rangle\right) 1=\operatorname{diag}\left(E_{n}-E_{1}+\left\langle E_{1}\right\rangle\right)
$$

In such a representation the eigenvalue equation takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[H_{\epsilon}^{2}-\lambda\right] u=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4. Let $P_{1}$ be the projection operator on the first band and let $\lambda_{0}=\left\langle E_{1}\right\rangle$, then

$$
\langle u, F u\rangle \geqslant\left[\lambda_{0}+G_{1}\right]\|u\|^{2} \quad \forall u \in\left[1-P_{1}\right] \mathrm{H}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\left[F-\lambda_{0}\right]^{-1}\left[1-P_{1}\right]\right\| \leqslant\left[G_{1}\right]^{-1}
$$

where

$$
G_{1}=\min _{k \in \mathrm{~B}}\left[E_{2}(k)-E_{1}(k)\right]
$$

is the width of the first gap.
Proof. By definition it follows that for any $u \in\left[1-P_{1}\right] \mathrm{H}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle u, F u\rangle & =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[E_{n}(k)-E_{1}(k)+\left\langle E_{1}\right\rangle\right]\left|u_{n}(k)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} k \\
& \geqslant\left[G_{1}+\left\langle E_{1}\right\rangle\right]\left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|u_{n}(k)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} k\right] \\
& =\left[G_{1}+\left\langle E_{1}\right\rangle\right]\|u\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

proving the first estimate. Furthermore, the second estimate will follow from the previous estimate and the self-adjointness of $F$.

### 3.2. Main results

We give now a formal power series for the solution of the eigenvalue equation (8).
Theorem 1. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{N}=\lambda^{N}(\epsilon)=\sum_{m=0}^{N} \epsilon^{m} \lambda_{m} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{N}=u^{N}(\epsilon)=\sum_{m=0}^{N} \epsilon^{m} u_{m} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

be two power series with terms recursively defined for any $m \geqslant 1$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{m}=u_{m}^{\perp}+u_{m}^{\|} \quad u_{m}^{\perp}=\left(1-P_{1}\right) u_{m} \quad u_{m}^{\|}=P_{1} u_{m}  \tag{11}\\
& u_{m}^{\perp}(k)=\left[\lambda_{0}-F\right]^{-1}\left(\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{m-1}^{\perp}}{\mathrm{d} k}+\left(1-P_{1}\right) X u_{m-1}-\sum_{r=1}^{m} \lambda_{r} u_{m-r}^{\perp}\right)  \tag{12}\\
& \lambda_{1}=\left\langle X_{1,1}\right\rangle \quad \lambda_{m+1}=\left[\left\langle P_{1} X\left(1-P_{1}\right) u_{m}^{\perp}\right\rangle-\left\langle\sum_{r=2}^{m} \lambda_{r} u_{m+1-r}^{\|}\right\rangle\right] \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}^{\|}(k)=\mathrm{i} \int_{0}^{k}\left[P_{1} X\left(1-P_{1}\right) u_{m}^{\perp}-\sum_{r=2}^{m+1} \lambda_{r} u_{m+1-r}^{\|}\right] \mathrm{d} q \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}=\left\langle E_{1}\right\rangle \quad \text { and } \quad u_{0}=\left(\delta_{n}^{1}\right)_{n} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\lambda^{N}(\epsilon)$ and $v^{N}(\epsilon)$ satisfy the eigenvalue equation $\left[H_{\epsilon}^{2}-\lambda\right] u=0$ at any order. That is, for any $N \geqslant 1$ there exists a positive constant $C_{N}$, independent of $\epsilon$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[H_{\epsilon}^{2}-\lambda^{N}\right] u^{N}\right\| \leqslant C_{N} \epsilon^{N+1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, such a formal power series is of Gevrey type [4]; that is
Theorem 2. The formal power series (9) and (10) are of Gevrey order $\gamma$ for any $\gamma>1$; that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{m}\right| \leqslant f(m) \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|u_{m}\right\| \leqslant 4 f(m) \quad \forall m \geqslant 1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f(m)=C K^{m} \Gamma(1+m \gamma)
$$

for some positive constants $C$ and $K$ independent of $m$, here $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes the Gamma function.
Remark 1. As appears in the proof of theorem 2 we are not able to obtain estimate (17) with $\gamma=1$, however (17) could be improved in order to have

$$
f(m)=C K^{m} m!\mathrm{e}^{2 m \ln (\ln (m))}
$$

### 3.3. Explicit computation of the first two orders

Here, we explicitly compute the first two terms of the formal power series (13). It turns out that this result agrees with that previously given in [11] by means of a different method. Indeed, from (13) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{2} & =\left\langle P_{1} X\left(1-P_{1}\right) u_{1}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle P_{1} X\left(1-P_{1}\right)\left[\lambda_{0}-F\right]^{-1}\left(1-P_{1}\right) X u_{0}\right\rangle \\
& =-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{X_{1, n}(k) X_{n, 1}(k)}{E_{n}(k)-E_{1}(k)} \mathrm{d} k .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Proof of theorem 1

We split the proof in several steps. Initially we obtain the formal power series, then we show that the vectors $u_{m}$ defined by (11) belongs to H . Finally, we prove the estimate (16).

### 4.1. Formal power series

By inserting the formal power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{m} u_{m} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{m} \lambda_{m} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

into the eigenvalue equation $\left[H_{\epsilon}^{2}-\lambda\right] u=0$ then the eigenvalue equation takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{i} \epsilon^{m+1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{m}}{\mathrm{~d} k}+\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{m} F u_{m}+\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{m+1} X u_{m}-\sum_{r, m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{r+m} \lambda_{m} u_{r}=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a moment we assume that $u_{m}$ is such that
$u_{m} \in \mathrm{H} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} u_{m}}{\mathrm{~d} k} \in \mathrm{H} \quad X u_{m} \in \mathrm{H} \quad$ and $\quad F u_{m} \in \mathrm{H}$ for any $m \geqslant 1$.
By comparing the terms of the same order, with respect to $\epsilon$, it follows that
Order 0. The zeroth order term in equation (19) is given by

$$
F u_{0}-\lambda_{0} u_{0}=0
$$

which has solution given by

$$
\lambda_{0}=\left\langle E_{1}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
u_{0}=\left(\delta_{1}^{n} u_{0}^{\|}\right)_{n}
$$

where $u_{0}^{\|}(k)$ is a function belonging to $L^{2}(\mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~d} k)$ that will be defined later.
Order 1. The first order term in equation (19) is given by

$$
\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{0}}{\mathrm{~d} k}+F u_{1}+X u_{0}-\left(\lambda_{0} u_{1}+\lambda_{1} u_{0}\right)=0
$$

Let $u_{m}=u_{m}^{\|}+u_{m}^{\perp}$, where $u_{m}^{\|}=P_{1} u_{m}, u_{m}^{\perp}=\left(1-P_{1}\right) u_{m}$, and let us project the above equation onto the spaces $P_{1} \mathrm{H}$ and $\left(1-P_{1}\right) \mathrm{H}$. We obtain the two equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{0}^{\|}}{\mathrm{d} k}+F u_{1}^{\|}+P_{1} X u_{0}-\left(\lambda_{0} u_{1}^{\|}+\lambda_{1} u_{0}^{\|}\right)=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{0}^{\perp}}{\mathrm{d} k}+F u_{1}^{\perp}+\left(1-P_{1}\right) X u_{0}-\left(\lambda_{1} u_{0}^{\perp}+\lambda_{0} u_{1}^{\perp}\right)=0 . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling that $F P_{1}=\left\langle E_{1}\right\rangle P_{1}=\lambda_{0} P_{1}$ and $u_{0}^{\perp}=0$ then the first equation takes the form

$$
\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{0}^{\|}}{\mathrm{d} k}+X_{1,1} u_{0}^{\|}-\lambda_{1} u_{0}^{\|}=0
$$

whose solution is given by

$$
u_{0}^{\|}(k)=c_{0} \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \int_{0}^{k}\left(X_{1,1}-\lambda_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} q\right]
$$

where $c_{0}$ is a multiplicative factor and where $\lambda_{1}$ is such that $u_{0}^{\|}(k)$ is a periodic function with period $2 \pi$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\left\langle X_{1,1}\right\rangle+j \quad j \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, choosing $j=0$ for the sake of definiteness, we have that

$$
\lambda_{1}=\left\langle X_{1,1}\right\rangle=X_{1,1}
$$

since $X_{1,1}(k)=$ const and $u_{0}^{\|}(k)=c_{0}$. In the following let us choose $c_{0}=1$, hence $u_{0}^{\|}(k)=1$. The second equation (22) takes the form

$$
F u_{1}^{\perp}+\left(1-P_{1}\right) X u_{0}-\lambda_{0} u_{1}^{\perp}=0
$$

which has solution given by

$$
u_{1}^{\perp}=\left[\lambda_{0}-F\right]^{-1}\left(1-P_{1}\right) X u_{0} .
$$

At present the function $u_{1}^{\|}$is not defined, it will be given in the following step.

Order 2. The second order term in equation (19) is given by

$$
\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} k}+F u_{2}+X u_{1}-\left(\lambda_{0} u_{2}+\lambda_{1} u_{1}+\lambda_{2} u_{0}\right)=0
$$

Let us project the above equation onto the spaces $P_{1} \mathrm{H}$ and $\left(1-P_{1}\right) \mathrm{H}$. We obtain the two equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{1}^{\|}}{\mathrm{d} k}+F u_{2}^{\|}+P_{1} X u_{1}-\left(\lambda_{0} u_{2}^{\|}+\lambda_{1} u_{1}^{\|}+\lambda_{2} u_{0}^{\|}\right)=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{1}^{\perp}}{\mathrm{d} k}+F u_{2}^{\perp}+\left(1-P_{1}\right) X u_{1}-\left(\lambda_{0} u_{2}^{\perp}+\lambda_{1} u_{1}^{\perp}+\lambda_{2} u_{0}^{\perp}\right)=0 . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

As above, and recalling also that $P_{1} X P_{1}=\lambda_{1}$, then equation (24) takes the form

$$
\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{1}^{\|}}{\mathrm{d} k}+P_{1} X\left(1-P_{1}\right) u_{1}^{\perp}-\lambda_{2} u_{0}^{\|}=0
$$

By multiplying this equation by $u_{0}^{\|}$then it follows that

$$
\lambda_{2}=\frac{\left\langle u_{0}^{\|}, P_{1} X\left(1-P_{1}\right) u_{1}^{\perp}\right\rangle}{\left\|u_{0}^{\|}\right\|^{2}}=\left\langle P_{1} X\left(1-P_{1}\right) u_{1}^{\perp}\right\rangle
$$

since $u_{0}^{\|}=c_{0}=1$. Furthermore, the above equation has a periodic solution

$$
u_{1}^{\|}(k)=\left[\mathrm{i} \int_{0}^{k}\left(P_{1} X\left(1-P_{1}\right) u_{1}^{\perp}-\lambda_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} q\right] .
$$

Equation (25) takes the form

$$
\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{1}^{\perp}}{\mathrm{d} k}+F u_{2}^{\perp}+\left(1-P_{1}\right) X u_{1}-\left(\lambda_{0} u_{2}^{\perp}+\lambda_{1} u_{1}^{\perp}\right)=0
$$

which has solution given by

$$
u_{2}^{\perp}=\left[\lambda_{0}-F\right]^{-1}\left(\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{1}^{\perp}}{\mathrm{d} k}+\left(1-P_{1}\right) X u_{1}-\lambda_{1} u_{1}^{\perp}\right) .
$$

Order $m$. The $m$ th order term, $m \geqslant 1$, in equation (19) is given by

$$
\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{m-1}}{\mathrm{~d} k}+F u_{m}+X u_{m-1}-\sum_{r=0}^{m} \lambda_{r} u_{m-r}=0
$$

where all the terms $\lambda_{r}$ and $u_{r}$ are recursively defined for $r=0, \ldots, m-1$, but $u_{m-1}^{\|}$. Let us project the above equation onto the spaces $P_{1} \mathrm{H}$ and $\left(1-P_{1}\right) \mathrm{H}$. We obtain the two equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{m-1}^{\|}}{\mathrm{d} k}+F u_{m}^{\|}+P_{1} X u_{m-1}-\sum_{r=0}^{m} \lambda_{r} u_{m-r}^{\|}=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{m-1}^{\perp}}{\mathrm{d} k}+F u_{m}^{\perp}+\left(1-P_{1}\right) X u_{m-1}-\sum_{r=0}^{m} \lambda_{r} u_{m-r}^{\perp}=0 .
$$

As above, and recalling that $F P_{1}=\lambda_{0} P_{1}$ and $P_{1} X P_{1}=\lambda_{1}$, then equation (26) takes the form

$$
\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{m-1}^{\|}}{\mathrm{d} k}+P_{1} X\left(1-P_{1}\right) u_{m-1}^{\perp}-\sum_{r=2}^{m} \lambda_{r} u_{m-r}^{\|}=0
$$

from which it follows that $\lambda_{m}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{m} & =\frac{\left\langle u_{0}^{\|}, P_{1} X\left(1-P_{1}\right) u_{m-1}^{\perp}\right\rangle-\left\langle u_{0}^{\|} \sum_{r=2}^{m-1} \lambda_{r} u_{m-r}^{\|}\right\rangle}{\left\|u_{0}^{\|}\right\|^{2}} \\
& =\left\langle P_{1} X\left(1-P_{1}\right) u_{m-1}^{\perp}\right\rangle-\left\langle\sum_{r=2}^{m-1} \lambda_{r} u_{m-r}^{\|}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and that

$$
u_{m-1}^{\|}(k)=\left[\mathrm{i} \int_{0}^{k}\left(P_{1} X\left(1-P_{1}\right) u_{m-1}^{\perp}-\sum_{r=2}^{m} \lambda_{r} u_{m-r}^{\|}\right) \mathrm{d} q\right]
$$

is a periodic solution. Equation (26) has solution given by

$$
u_{m}^{\perp}=\left[\lambda_{0}-F\right]^{-1}\left(\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{m-1}^{\perp}}{\mathrm{d} k}+\left(1-P_{1}\right) X u_{m-1}-\sum_{r=1}^{m} \lambda_{r} u_{m-r}^{\perp}\right) .
$$

### 4.2. Regularity of the functions $u_{m}$

Now we have to prove that $u_{m}$ satisfies conditions (20) for any $m \geqslant 0$. By induction let us assume that (20) hold for some $m$ (they are certainly true for $m=0$ ) and then we prove that they hold for $m+1$. Indeed $u_{m+1} \in \mathrm{H}$ and $X u_{m+1} \in \mathrm{H}$ since $X$ and $\left[\lambda_{0}-F\right]^{-1}\left(1-P_{1}\right)$ are bounded operators (lemmas 3 and 4). Furthermore $\frac{\mathrm{d} u_{m+1}}{\mathrm{~d} k} \in \mathrm{H}$ since $X^{(1)}$ is a bounded operator (lemma 3) and

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left[\lambda_{0}-F\right]^{-1}}{\mathrm{~d} k}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{E_{n}^{\prime}-E_{1}^{\prime}}{\left(E_{n}-E_{1}\right)^{2}}\right)
$$

is bounded too since $\frac{E_{n}^{\prime}-E_{1}^{\prime}}{\left(E_{n}-E_{1}\right)^{2}} \sim 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (lemma 3).

### 4.3. Estimate (16)

Now, we have to prove the estimate (16). Indeed, by definition, it follows that

$$
\left[H_{\epsilon}^{2}-\lambda^{N}\right] u_{N}=\epsilon^{N+1} v_{N}
$$

where

$$
v_{N}=\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{N}}{\mathrm{~d} k}+X u_{N}-\sum_{m=N+1}^{2 N} \epsilon^{m-(N+1)} \sum_{r=0}^{m} \lambda_{r} u_{m-r}
$$

is such that $\left\|v_{N}\right\| \leqslant C_{N}$ for some positive constant $C_{N}$ independent of $\epsilon$ since $u_{m} \in \mathrm{H}, m=$ $0,1, \ldots, N$, does not depend on $\epsilon, \frac{\mathrm{d} u_{N}}{\mathrm{~d} k} \in \mathrm{H}$ and $X u_{N} \in \mathrm{H}$.

## 5. Proof of theorem 2

In order to prove theorem 2 let us introduce the following notation. Let $\rho \in[0, \kappa]$ be given and let $u \in \mathrm{H}$ be analytic on the strip $S_{\rho}$, that is $u=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is such that the functions $u_{n}(k)$ are analytic on the same strip $S_{\rho}$. We denote

$$
\lceil u\rceil_{\rho}=\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup _{k \in S_{\rho}}\left|u_{n}(k)\right|^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} .
$$

It immediately follows that

$$
\|u\| \leqslant\lceil u\rceil_{\rho} \quad \forall \rho \geqslant 0 \quad \text { and } \quad\lceil u\rceil_{\rho_{1}} \leqslant\lceil u\rceil_{\rho_{2}} \quad \rho_{1} \leqslant \rho_{2} .
$$

From (15) it follows that $u_{0}$ is analytic on $S_{\rho}$ for any $\rho \geqslant 0$ and $\left\lceil u_{0}\right\rceil_{\rho}=1$.
We now prove theorem 2 by induction; we assume that $u_{m}$ is analytic on a strip $S_{\rho_{m}}$ and that for any $\gamma>1$ fixed there exist $C$ and $K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{m}\right| \leqslant C(m K)^{m \gamma} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\lceil u_{m}\right\rceil_{\rho_{m}} \leqslant 4 C(m K)^{m \gamma} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\rho_{0}=\kappa \quad \rho_{m+1}=\rho_{m}-\frac{\kappa}{2(m+2)[\ln (e+m)]^{2}} .
$$

The estimates (27) are clearly true for $m=0$ and $m=1$.
In particular we assume that $K$ is large enough and $C$ is small enough; that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \leqslant \frac{1}{4\left(1+2^{2 \gamma}\right)} \leqslant 1 \quad \frac{8 c_{1}}{c_{2}} \leqslant K \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{16 m^{1-\gamma} \ln ^{2}(m)}{\kappa c_{2}} \leqslant K \quad \forall m \geqslant 1 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1} \geqslant\|X\|$ will be defined later (see equation (30)) and

$$
c_{2}=\inf \left\{1, \inf _{k \in S_{k}}\left|E_{2}(k)-E_{1}(k)\right|\right\}>0
$$

Now, we prove that $u_{m+1}$ is analytic on the strip $S_{\rho_{m+1}}$ and the estimates (27) hold when we replace $m$ by $m+1$. Indeed, from (13) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\lambda_{m+1}\right| & \leqslant\left|\left\langle P_{1} X\left(1-P_{1}\right) u_{m}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right|+\sum_{r=2}^{m}\left|\lambda_{r}\right| \cdot\left\|u_{m+1-r}^{\|}\right\| \\
& \leqslant\|X\| \cdot\left\|u_{m}\right\|+\sum_{r=2}^{m}\left|\lambda_{r}\right| \cdot\left\|u_{m+1-r}\right\| \\
& \leqslant\|X\| \cdot\left\lceil u_{m}\right\rceil_{\rho_{m}}+\sum_{r=2}^{m}\left|\lambda_{r}\right| \cdot\left\lceil u_{m+1-r}\right\rceil_{\rho_{m+1-r}} \\
& \leqslant\|X\| 4 C(K m)^{m \gamma}+\sum_{r=2}^{m} C(K r)^{r \gamma} 4 C[K(m+1-r)]^{(m+1-r) \gamma} \\
& \leqslant 4\|X\| C(K m)^{m \gamma}+4 C^{2} K^{(m+1) \gamma}\left\{m^{m \gamma}+\sum_{r=2}^{m-1} r^{r \gamma}(m+1-r)^{(m+1-r) \gamma}\right\} \\
& \leqslant C K^{(m+1) \gamma} m^{m \gamma}+4 C^{2} K^{(m+1) \gamma}\left(1+2^{2 \gamma}\right) m^{m \gamma} \\
& \leqslant C K^{(m+1) \gamma}(m+1)^{(m+1) \gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m \geqslant 2$ and since $K$ and $C$ satisfy (28).
Concerning the estimate of $u_{m+1}$ from (14) it immediately follows that $u_{m+1}$ is analytic on the same strip $S_{\rho_{m}}$ and the same arguments as above give that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\lceil u_{m+1}^{\|}\right\rceil_{\rho_{m+1}} & \leqslant C K(K m)^{m \gamma}+4 C^{2}\left(1+2^{2 \gamma}\right) m^{m \gamma} K^{(m+1) \gamma}+\left|\lambda_{m+1}\right| \\
& \leqslant 2 C[K(m+1)]^{(m+1) \gamma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to treat the last term $u_{m+1}^{\perp}$ we introduce the following lemma:

Lemma 5. Let $u$ be analytic on a given strip $S_{\rho}$ for some $\rho \leqslant k$. Then the vectors $\left[\lambda_{0}-F\right]^{-1} u, X u$ and $\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} k}$ are analytic on the same strip. Furthermore the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lceil\left[\lambda_{0}-F\right]^{-1} u\right\rceil_{\rho} \leqslant c_{2}^{-1}\lceil u\rceil_{\rho}  \tag{29}\\
& \lceil X u\rceil_{\rho} \leqslant c_{1}\lceil u\rceil_{\rho} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

for some positive constant $c_{1} \geqslant\|X\|$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\lceil\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} k}\right\rceil_{\rho} \leqslant \frac{1}{\mu-\rho}\lceil u\rceil_{\mu} \quad \mu>\rho . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The above estimate immediately follows from the analyticity of the band and Bloch functions on $S_{\kappa}$. Indeed, by definition it follows that

$$
\left(\left[\lambda_{0}-F\right]^{-1} u\right)_{n}=\frac{u_{n}(k)}{E_{1}(k)-E_{n}(k)}
$$

and so

$$
\left\lceil\left[\lambda_{0}-F\right]^{-1} u\right\rceil_{\rho}^{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup _{k \in S_{\rho}}\left|\frac{u_{n}(k)}{E_{1}(k)-E_{n}(k)}\right|^{2} \leqslant \frac{\lceil u\rceil_{\rho}^{2}}{c_{2}^{2}}
$$

since

$$
\inf _{k \in S_{\rho}}\left|E_{1}(k)-E_{n}(k)\right| \geqslant \inf _{k \in S_{\rho}}\left|E_{1}(k)-E_{2}(k)\right| \geqslant c_{2}
$$

for any $n$. In order to prove estimate (30) let

$$
(X u)_{n}=\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} X_{n, p}(k) u_{p}(k)
$$

where $X_{n, p}(k)$ are given by lemma 1. Then, the same arguments as [9] prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lceil X u\rceil_{\rho}^{2} & \leqslant \sum_{n, p=1}^{\infty} \sup _{k \in S_{\rho}}\left[\left|X_{n, p}(k)\right|^{2}\left|u_{p}(k)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& \leqslant \sum_{n, p=1}^{\infty}\left[\sup _{k \in S_{\rho}}\left|X_{n, p}(k)\right|^{2}\right] \cdot\left[\sup _{k \in S_{\rho}}\left|u_{p}(k)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& \leqslant c_{1}\lceil u\rceil_{\rho}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some positive constant $c_{1}$ independent of $\rho \leqslant \kappa$. Finally, estimate (31) follows from the analyticity of the function $u$ and from the Cauchy theorem.

Finally, from (12) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\lceil u_{m+1}^{\perp}\right\rceil_{\rho_{m+1}} & \leqslant c_{2}^{-1}\left[\left\lceil\left.\frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{m}^{\perp}}{\mathrm{d} k}\right|_{\rho_{m+1}}+\left\lceil X u_{m}\right\rceil_{\rho_{m}}+\sum_{r=1}^{m+1}\left|\lambda_{r}\right|\left\lceil u_{m+1-r}\right\rceil_{\rho_{m+1}}\right]\right. \\
& \leqslant c_{2}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{\rho_{m}-\rho_{m+1}}\left\lceil u_{m}\right\rceil_{\rho_{m}}+c_{1}\left\lceil u_{m}\right\rceil_{\rho_{m+1}}+\sum_{r=1}^{m+1}\left|\lambda_{r}\right|\left\lceil u_{m+1-r}\right\rceil_{\rho_{m+1}-r}\right] \\
& \leqslant c_{2}^{-1}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{\rho_{m}-\rho_{m+1}}+c_{1}\right]\lceil u\rceil_{\rho_{m}}+\sum_{r=1}^{m} C(r K)^{r \gamma} 4 C[K(m-r+1)]^{(m-r+1) \gamma}\right\} \\
& \leqslant c_{2}^{-1}\left\{\left[2 \frac{(m+2) \ln ^{2}(m+e)}{k}+c_{1}\right] 4 C(K m)^{m \gamma}+4 C^{2} K^{(m+1) \gamma}\left(1+2^{2 \gamma}\right) m^{m \gamma}\right\} \\
& \leqslant 2 C[K(m+1)]^{\gamma(m+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of theorem 2.
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